

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,691	07/06/2001	Hans-Juergen Hauschild	112740-237	6701	
29177 75	590 06/16/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			ESCALANT	ESCALANTE, OVIDIO	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2645	1711 ER NOMBER	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/16/2009		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/900,691	HAUSCHILD ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ovidio Escalante	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 F	February 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	F=1	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/900,691 Page 2

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on February 4, 2005. Claims 1-12 are now pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2645

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bansal et al. US Patent 6,7871,749 in view of Picard 6,233,318.

Regarding claim 1, Bansal teaches a voice processing apparatus (portable device 100; col. 2, lines 14-30) and method for processing individual voice messages stored in a voice memory system (messaging device 130/database 140; col. 1, lines 26-35; col. 3, lines 12-27), wherein the voice memory system is controllable via the voice processing apparatus (100) using particular signals, (query signals, col. 2, lines 52-59), the voice processing apparatus comprising:

a transmission apparatus for sequentially requesting all individual voice messages stored in the voice memory system via a single input, (col. 2, lines 52-59; col. 3, lines 52-63; a single button may be pressed to request the messages);

a reception apparatus for sequentially receiving the individual voice messages stored in the voice memory system, (col. 3, lines 60-63; col. 4, lines 16-25; col. 6, lines 1-6);

a memory apparatus for separately storing the individual voice messages in the voice processing apparatus, (col. 2, lines 25-30).

Bansal does not specifically teach of a playback apparatus for randomly playing back the stored messages.

In the same field of endeavor, Picard teaches of a voice apparatus which comprises a playback apparatus for randomly playing back the stored individual voice messages, (col. 3, lines 41-49; col. 13, lines 22-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Bansal by playing back the individual messages randomly as taught by Picard so that the user can hear the messages that have been transmitted to

Art Unit: 2645

the portable device and so that the user can pick any message without listening to the messages in a specific order.

Regarding claim 2, Bansal, as applied to claim 1, teaches a wherein the transmission apparatus automatically generates and sends the particular signals (query signals) required for controlling the voice memory system, (col. 2, lines 51-59).

Regarding claim 3, Bansal, as applied to claim 1, teaches of using signals. Bansal does not specifically teach wherein the particular signals are formed based on a dual tone multi-frequency dialing method.

In the same field of endeavor, Picard teaches wherein the particular signals are formed based on a dual tone multi-frequency (DTMF) dialing method, (col. 13, lines 22-33). Picard teaches that DTMF is used to interact with a VMS system.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the signals of Bansal by using dual tone multi-frequency dialing as taught by Picard so that the portable device can interact with the voice messaging system.

Regarding claim 4, Bansal as applied to claim 1, teaches a display apparatus, (col. 2, lines 14-30). Bansal does not specifically teach a display apparatus having a graphical user interface for controlling the voice processing apparatus.

In the same field of endeavor, Picard teaches of a display having a graphical user interface for controlling the voice processing apparatus, (col. 7, lines 13-25; fig. 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the display of Bansal by using a graphical user interface as taught

Art Unit: 2645

by Picard so that the user can interact with the stored messages and can select the messages that appear on the display.

Regarding claim 5, Bansal, as applied to claim 1, teaches wherein the stored voice messages are made available to the user. Bansal does not specifically teach that the stored voice messages are made available to the user as a respective attachment to an e-mail.

In the same field of endeavor, Picard teaches wherein the stored voice messages are made available to the user as a respective attachment to an e-mail, (col. 9, lines 28-39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the voice message of Bansal by making the voice message available as an attachment to an e-mail as taught by Picard so that the portable device (e.g. laptop) of Bansal can receive voice messages.

Regarding claim 6, Bansal, as applied to claim 1, does not specifically teach of an erasing apparatus for automatically erasing the individual voice message in the voice memory system.

In the same field of endeavor, Picard teaches an erasing apparatus for automatically erasing the individual voice messages in the voice memory system which already have been received, (col. 17, line 66-col. 18, line 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Bansal by using an erasing apparatus as taught by Picard so that message storage space in the system can be reduced.

Regarding claim 7, Bansal teaches a method for processing individual voice messages from a voice memory system (messaging device 130/database 140; col. 1, lines 26-35; col. 3,

Art Unit: 2645

lines 12-27), wherein the voice memory system is controllable via particular signals (query signals, col. 2, lines 52-63) the method comprising the steps of:

requesting all individual voice messages with a single input, (col. 2, lines 52-59; col. 3, lines 52-63; a single button is pressed);

receiving, sequentially, the individual voice message stored in the voice memory system, (col. 3, lines 60-63; col. 4, lines 16-25; col. 6, lines 1-6);

storing, separately, the individual voice messages, (col. 2, lines 25-30).

Bansal does not specifically teach of a playing back, randomly stored individual messages.

In the same field of endeavor, Picard teaches of a voice memory system and storing individual voice message and playing back randomly, the stored individual voice messages, (col. 3, lines 41-49; col. 13, lines 22-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Bansal by playing back the individual messages randomly as taught by Picard so that the user can hear the messages that have been transmitted to the portable device and so that the user can pick any message without listening to the messages in a specific order.

Regarding claim 8, Bansal, as applied to claim 7, teaches generating and sending, automatically, the particular signals required for controlling the voice memory apparatus, (col. 2, lines 51-59).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 9, Bansal, as applied to claim 7, teaches wherein the stored voice messages are made available to the user. Bansal does not specifically teach that the stored voice messages are made available to the user as a respective attachment to an e-mail.

In the same field of endeavor, Picard teaches wherein the stored voice messages are made available to the user as a respective attachment to an e-mail, (col. 9, lines 28-39).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the voice message of Bansal by making the voice message available as an attachment to an e-mail as taught by Picard so that the portable device (e.g. laptop) of Bansal can receive voice messages.

Regarding claim 10, Bansal, as applied to claim 7, does not specifically teach of an erasing, automatically erasing the individual voice message in the voice memory system.

In the same field of endeavor, Picard teaches an erasing method for automatically erasing the individual voice messages in the voice memory system which already has been received, (col. 17, line 66-col. 18, line 4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Bansal by using an erasing method as taught by Picard so that memory storage space can be reduced.

Regarding claim 11, Bansal, as applied to claim 1, teaches wherein the transmission apparatus requests multiple individual voice message stored in the voice memory system by a single request, (col. 2, lines 52-59; col. 3, lines 52-63).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 12, Bansal, as applied to claim 7, teaches wherein the receiving step receives sequentially multiple individual voice messages stored in the voice memory system with a single request, (col. 2, lines 52-59; col. 3, lines 52-63).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed February 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants contend that none of the cited art, alone or in combination, recite "a transmission apparatus for sequentially requesting all individual voice messages stored in the voice memory system via a single input," as well as "a memory apparatus for separately storing the individual voice messages in the voice processing apparatus". Applicants further contend that Bansal is silent regarding how the messages that are transmitted to the terminal device are stored in the terminal device and Bansal does not disclose any sequencing capabilities. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Bansal teaches the controller 200 retrieves message information corresponding to the identifier received from the database 140 through database interface 220. The message information retrieved may include messages of various formats for the person to whom the portable device 100 belongs. Messages may include, for example, voice mail messages, e-mail messages, fax messages, pager messages, text messages and the like as shown in figure 3. Bansal specifically states of retrieving a plurality of messages during the transmission step. As far as the sequencing capabilities, since all messages are received, and each message is separate from each other, then the plurality of voice messages; e-mail messages and the like are sequentially transmitted one right after another.

Art Unit: 2645

Bansal also discloses a memory apparatus for separately storing the individual voice messages.

In step 530, the messaging device 130 determines if the user has any messages stored on the portable device 100 to be transmitted to the messaging device 130. If there are pending messages on the portable device 100, the messaging device 130 receives the messages from the portable device 100 in step 540. In step 550, the messaging device 130 then determines if there are unsent messages on the messaging device 130 to send to the portable device 100. If there are unsent messages on the messaging device 130, the messaging device 130 sends the messages to the portable device 100 in step 560. The messaging system can then wait for the next portable device identifier.

This paragraph describes messages being separately stored. Thus since the memory of the portable device is able to separately store different message types, then when the plurality of messages are downloaded to the device then each message is separately stored. This will allow the user to individually identify each message.

As a side note, Bansal even discloses in figure 3 of separately storing each message. Each message has its own identifier which identifies the type and number of messages, i.e. voice mail 1, voice mail 2 etc.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2645

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7537, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building.00 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ovidio Escalante whose telephone number is 571-272-7537. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 6:30 to 4:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan S Tsang can be reached on 571-272-7547. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2645

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OVIDIO ESCALANTE PATENT EXAMINER

O Vidio Escalante

Ovidio Escalante

Examiner Group 2645

June 10, 2005

O.E./oe